

City of Frisco, Texas 2006 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Appendix B: Cumulative Results of the Frisco VISUAL CHARACTER SURVEY (VCS)

ADOPTED APRIL 18, 2006

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	00111471170	***************************************
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 1	Mixed Use	2.3	2.16	2.8	1.25	1.81	2.06	NW: Nice; more lighting; too dull; bleak; modern style; lack of style; design wasn't great. CPAC: Front door seating; sidewalk appeal; ok.	
IMAGE 2	Multiple- Family	-0.1	2.62	0.6	2.98	0.67	2.74	NW: Too many people in one area. CPAC: Too closed off; ok.	
IMAGE 3	Retail	0.6	2.91	-0.4	2.50	1.33	2.22	NW: Increased traffic; too basic. CPAC: Need more appealing; too many of these.	
IMAGE 4	Entryway	2.3	2.01	2.1	2.01	1.76	2.91	<u>NW:</u> No trees.	
IMAGE 5	Retail	1.5	2.61	1.6	1.89	1.90	3.02	<u>NW:</u> Don't like style.	
IMAGE 6	Sidewalk Between Retail & Roadway	2.4	2.21	1.8	2.30	0.43	2.64	<u>NW:</u> Make it wider; good. <u>CPAC:</u> Wider sidewalks.	
IMAGE 7	Transit- Commuter Rail	2.4	2.53	3.0	1.63	2.86	1.88	NW: Transit yes, but not the Colorado Rail Car - this is very OLD; ugly; but design should be better. CPAC: Something different.	
IMAGE 8	Public Space	4.4	1.10	4.1	1.13	3.52	2.42	NW: Added "+++" after the "5" marked; nice.	
IMAGE 9	Street Design- Roundabout	0.9	3.29	2.7	2.10	3.24	2.26	NW: Yes; not too many; too confusing; too busy (2 responses). CPAC: Need bike lanes.	
IMAGE 10	Street Design	-0.1	2.92	0.4	2.74	1.00	3.38	NW: Bike path, yes; hate to parallel park; too busy; congested looking. CPAC: Notice bike lanes.	rt bo

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	COMMENTS	IMAGE
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 11	Duplex	-0.4	2.60	0.5	2.48	-0.38	3.23	NW: No design; hate duplexes. CPAC: Sea of parking; garage at the house.	
IMAGE 12	Open Space in Relation to Development	4.2	1.18	3.6	1.22	1.05	3.25	NW: Added "+++" after the "5" marked; nice; good natural. CPAC: Gives "bigger" feel to area; wonderful!	
IMAGE 13	Retail	2.1	2.82	1.5	2.44	3.05	1.88	<u>NW:</u> Too much going on; needs a little better design	ano
IMAGE 14	Mixed Use	3.1	1.89	3.3	1.32	3.43	1.66	<u>CPAC:</u> Walkability	La Think
IMAGE 15	Multiple- Family	0.1	2.78	-0.7	2.73	0.52	2.68	<u>NW:</u> Beautiful	
IMAGE 16	Public Space	3.0	1.84	2.3	1.78	0.62	2.06	<u>CPAC:</u> Gathering areas	
IMAGE 17	Retail	1.7	2.35	1.0	1.68	-0.24	3.28	NW: Good materials - needs better design; too close. CPAC: Good use of stone.	ETT DEMIN
IMAGE 18	Street Design	2.2	2.16	3.0	1.25	-0.95	3.53	NW: Need more space for roadways; parking both sides; narrow; too cramped; trees good. CPAC: Trees on sidewalk.	
IMAGE 19	Mixed Use	3.3	1.36	3.3	1.32	3.57	1.94	NW: Added "+" after the "5" marked. <u>CPAC:</u> Could add more space	
IMAGE 20	Retail Pedestrian Gathering Space	3.5	1.60	3.1	1.88	3.19	1.86	NW: Parking too close; added "+" after the "5" marked; crowded; cars too close; great places to shop	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	COMMENTO	IMAGE
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 21	Sidewalk in a Single-Family Development	3.4	1.76	2.7	1.52	1.76	2.05	NW: Need more benches to sit	
IMAGE 22	Transit-Trolley	1.8	2.89	2.1	2.84	2.81	2.36	NW: Fantastic; who'll use?; dangerous; cool. <u>CPAC:</u> Needs something.	
IMAGE 23	Single-Family	2.9	2.20	2.5	1.34	3.05	1.86	<u>NW:</u> McHouse	
IMAGE 24	Mixed Use	2.7	2.10	3.4	1.22	2.48	2.32	NW: Like the trees; good trees; too high. CPAC: Not gated off.	
IMAGE 25	Multiple- Family	0.0	2.81	-0.4	2.32	-0.05	2.60	NW: Bleak; good gate; poor design. CPAC: Ugly building; hate fences.	AME
IMAGE 26	Open Space in Relation to Development	3.4	1.70	2.8	1.51	0.05	2.94	NW: More trees; added "+++" after the "5" marked; different styles, good; big lawn	
IMAGE 27	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	-0.4	2.96	-0.2	1.84	-1.62	3.09	NW: NOI; love the café look; small lawn. CPAC: Too plain; has its needs; too much concrete	
IMAGE 28	Street Design	-1.8	2.82	-2.5	2.44	0.24	2.93	NW: Boring, no character; dangerous (2 responses)	
IMAGE 29	Mixed Use	1.2	2.42	1.4	2.61	2.19	2.62	NW: Like brick sidewalk; not great design; love palm trees; too cramped	
IMAGE 30	Single-Family	2.7	1.92	3.4	1.40	3.43	2.31	CPAC: Good pocket park; interesting	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	0014471470	***************************************
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 31	Street Design	2.2	2.53	3.6	1.30	1.05	3.25	NW: Very good!; wide street; don't like street parking; narrow; too cramped. CPAC: Too narrow	
IMAGE 32	Transit- Commuter Rail	1.2	2.99	1.9	2.11	-0.10	3.59	NW: Very, very goodl; DART where you need it	
IMAGE 33	Townhomes	1.9	2.31	2.3	1.70	1.29	2.15	NW: Needs more detail CPAC: Basements in Texas?	
IMAGE 34	Single-Family	3.3	1.66	3.1	1.15	3.00	2.07	NW: Back from street; too big for family	float of the control
IMAGE 35	Street Design	3.3	1.67	3.3	1.52	2.10	3.21	<u>NW:</u> Like brick; looks rural; too cramped	
IMAGE 36	Townhomes	1.1	2.50	1.5	1.82	0.19	2.73	NW: Too Dallas like; Plano, Ohio; do like the green space; poor design. <u>CPAC:</u> Not gated off; too bland	THE THE PROPERTY OF
IMAGE 37	Transit- Oriented Development	0.0	2.93	1.4	2.77	0.81	2.73	NW: Trolley; parks and opens spaces are always good. CPAC: Too industrial-looking	
IMAGE 38	Multiple-Family	0.1	2.54	0.2	2.11	0.76	2.34	NW: Amount in space. CPAC: Note separately gated off	
IMAGE 39	Retail	2.5	2.76	2.3	1.29	2.95	2.44		
IMAGE 40	Open Space in Relation to Development	3.6	1.43	3.6	1.05	2.14	2.90	NW: Needs parking; added "+++" after the "5" marked; trees; love parks	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	0014151150	***************************************
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 41	Street Design	0.7	2.85	2.1	2.82	1.86	2.97	NW: Bike lane is good; I like it!; wider; like bike path; like bike trail; like bike path; too cramped. CPAC: Like bike lanes/trail/path (4 responses)	
IMAGE 42	Duplex	1.5	2.45	2.4	1.26	0.29	3.21		
IMAGE 43	Mixed Use	2.4	1.74	2.8	1.45	2.95	2.33	NW: Very good; design	
IMAGE 44	Retail	1.4	2.51	0.6	2.52	0.81	2.79	NW: Very impressive; typical shop. CPAC: Common for our area	
IMAGE 45	Retail	0.3	2.54	0.4	1.71	-0.52	2.96	<u>NW:</u> Walkways. <u>CPAC:</u> Parking handy	
IMAGE 46	Mixed Use	2.1	2.02	2.5	1.34	1.29	2.63	NW: Plantings make all the differencel; open is good. CPAC: Need more green plants	
IMAGE 47	Retail	0.4	2.61	0.4	2.42	0.19	3.52	NW: Like green. <u>CPAC:</u> Don't like pole sign	
IMAGE 48	Transit & Transit- Oriented Development	1.3	2.55	3.0	2.16	2.76	2.41	NW: Yes!; cool trains	
IMAGE 49	Retail	0.4	3.04	0.6	2.72	1.19	2.82	NW: Big box; no design. CPAC: Common	
IMAGE 50	Multiple-Family	-2.2	2.77	-2.0	2.30	-2.10	3.56	NW: Nice; put some trees in the parking lot; no design; appearance; too small. CPAC: Ugly	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	COMMENTO	
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 51	Mixed Use	2.0	2.19	3.0	1.40	2.60	2.82	NW: Needs more parking; streetscape; open is good	
IMAGE 52	Retail	-1.5	2.99	-2.1	2.29	-2.19	3.09	NW: Target always good; unlandscaped; big box; barren/cold; not too many big box; no design; more flowers; too big for parking lot. CPAC: Too much concrete; sea of concrete; too much parking lot; I like Target	Operation
IMAGE 53	Open Space in Relation to Development	3.0	1.80	3.3	1.29	1.62	1.96	NW: Wide street; added "+++" after the "5" marked; not with cars on street. CPAC: Great - nice landscape & architecture	
IMAGE 54	Street Design- Roundabout	1.9	2.93	3.6	1.09	3.90	2.02	NW: Very nice!; not too many though; because of sculpture; roundabout, looks good yet will people get it; confusing to drive in; waste of money; but sculpture - ugly; only have one - they get confusing; wicked cool. CPAC: Works great in Europe	
IMAGE 55	Retail	2.6	1.92	2.8	0.91	2.52	2.50	<u>NW:</u> Like set back	
IMAGE 56	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	-0.9	2.94	1.0	2.54	-2.71	2.85	<u>NW:</u> Ugly; move it back; too piece meal; cramp	
IMAGE 57	Mixed Use	-1.6	2.96	0.9	3.02	1.81	3.36	NW: Too high doesn't match; stark; cool. CPAC: Too industrial; like patios	
IMAGE 58	Street Design	2.8	2.32	3.5	1.44	2.43	2.87	NW: Wide street; added "+++" after the "5" marked; not with cars on street; trees; good for neighborhood bad for big street CPAC: Like curved streets; very pretty	
IMAGE 59	Sidewalk in Retail Development	1.8	2.21	1.6	1.87	0.76	2.14	NW: Too narrow; sidewalk is too narrow; wider; too far from stores CPAC: Need wider/more inviting.	
IMAGE 60	Mixed Use	1.7	2.16	2.3	1.09	2.62	2.42	CPAC: Can't tell what it is	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	COMMENTS	IMAGE
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 61	Multiple-Family	1.4	2.59	2.1	2.10	0.90	2.91	NW: Good design; like houses; style	
IMAGE 62	Entryway	2.0	2.16	2.8	1.44	2.48	2.68	NW: Don't like the round; nice for entry way	THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF THE
IMAGE 63	Duplex	1.4	2.48	2.5	1.26	0.76	3.28	<u>NW:</u> Set back	
IMAGE 64	Street Design	-3.4	2.06	-3.0	2.36	-2.38	2.64	NW: Ugly, cluttered; near Love Field; congested; 3-lane, try 4	
IMAGE 65	Mixed Use	1.2	2.50	1.6	2.32	0.95	2.91	NW: Ugly; too dull - boring frontage; don't like street parking. <u>CPAC:</u> Lower level not inviting.	HILL
IMAGE 66	Open Space in Relation to Development	2.8	1.98	3.3	1.16	2.67	1.68	NW: Don't like round; added "+++" after the "5" marked	
IMAGE 67	Transit-Trolley	1.0	3.11	1.6	2.24	0.38	2.77	NW: No ads please; who'll ride; small town feel; no to trolley; cool. CPAC: Need uniform sign.	
IMAGE 68	Single-Family	3.3	1.80	3.1	1.58	2.38	2.48	NW: Love porches; large lots	a political poli
IMAGE 69	Entryway	3.0	2.04	3.1	1.61	1.48	2.32	<u>NW:</u> Nice; visually	
IMAGE 70	Retail	0.7	2.57	0.8	2.23	-1.57	2.62	<u>NW:</u> Strip mall; too small	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	201117172	
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 71	Retail Pedestrian Gathering Space	3.6	1.49	3.4	1.33	3.33	1.98	NW: Needs to be further from street; not connected unfortunately; love outdoor seating	Harris Control
IMAGE 72	Public Space	3.2	1.78	3.2	1.23	2.10	2.32		
IMAGE 73	Single-Family	1.9	2.63	2.7	1.75	-0.76	3.35	<u>NW:</u> Way too small	
IMAGE 74	Street Design	1.4	2.66	0.5	2.63	1.33	2.31	NW: Not enough greenbelt between; like center median; nice and big cool. CPAC: Need bike lanes	
IMAGE 75	Townhomes	3.4	2.07	3.7	1.70	3.10	2.00	NW: In the right part of town; awesome; very, very nice!; put a star next to "5" marking; Excellent; streetscape. CPAC: Very nice; basements? Love that	
IMAGE 76	Single-Family	3.2	2.21	3.2	1.56	-1.43	3.89	NW: Wishful. CPAC: Woo hoo!	
IMAGE 77	Sidewalk in Single-Family Development	2.8	2.07	2.7	1.17	1.57	2.60	NW: Wide; too close to road; very wide; too wide; like large sidewalks. CPAC: Like broader width; wider	
IMAGE 78	Townhomes	2.2	2.16	2.8	1.05	1.29	3.29	<u>NW:</u> No street parking	
IMAGE 79	Open Space in Relation to Development	3.6	1.50	3.5	1.22	2.62	2.31	NW: Added "+++" after the "5" marked; openess;	
IMAGE 80	Entryway	1.7	2.28	2.2	1.82	-0.24	3.08	NW: Appealing; ugly roof and sign	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	0014471470	
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 81	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	1.7	2.79	2.7	1.89	0.14	3.21	<u>NW:</u> Much better; cramped	
IMAGE 82	Transit-Large Buses	0.2	3.19	1.3	2.68	-0.52	3.89	NW: Ugly bus; yes, we need it!; unique; no; too big of a bus	
IMAGE 83	Street Design	2.4	2.18	2.6	1.92	2.33	2.89	NW: Not large buses; too expensive; use of trees; big is great. CPAC: Need bike lanes; no cars is good.	
IMAGE 84	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	0.4	2.85	1.5	2.15	-1.00	3.32	NW: Like paving stone; no design	
IMAGE 85	Public Space	3.9	1.58	4.0	1.36	4.43	1.99	NW: Super; nice design; oooh!!aahh!; yes, water & green; oh aah!; too much tile	
IMAGE 86	Duplex	0.1	2.95	0.3	2.53	0.38	2.77	NW: Not bad; driveways in front; set back	
IMAGE 87	Retail Pedestrian Gathering Space	3.3	1.73	3.2	1.81	2.14	3.07	NW: Connected?; yes, very nice	
IMAGE 88	Single-Family	-2.9	2.52	-2.0	2.41	-3.95	1.80	NW: Nothing special; ugl; no wayl; no green; cracker box yuckl; yuckl; the garage is in your face. CPAC: Needs lawn, trees, flowers; sad.	
IMAGE 89	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	2.3	2.59	3.6	1.33	0.43	3.61	NW: Great; very nice; too cramped. CPAC: Front porches!; like porches; very nice	
IMAGE 90	Sidewalk in Retail Development	3.3	1.62	3.1	1.69	3.10	2.21	NW: Like brick; nice and big. CPAC: Nice and wide; cars too close; wide sidewalk good.	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	COMMENTO	IMOF
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 91	Transit- Oriented Development	1.8	2.34	2.6	2.24	2.00	2.39	NW: Needs a little more detail in architectural design	
IMAGE 92	Open Space in Relation Development	3.4	1.71	3.1	1.25	3.24	1.97	<u>NW:</u> Open is great	
IMAGE 93	Townhomes	0.2	3.19	2.0	2.47	3.62	2.16	NW: Too risky! - out of style in 5 years; but maybe not for Frisco?; nice design; ugly!; too ugly; very trendy. CPAC: Too modern; same; Jetsons	
IMAGE 94	Street Design	1.8	2.46	1.7	2.21	2.57	1.94	NW: Where park?; no curb, sidewalk; open lanes is cool. CPAC: No cars!	
IMAGE 95	Single-Family	3.3	1.72	2.7	1.75	1.48	3.30	CPAC: Parking in street	A City
IMAGE 96	Mixed Use	3.0	2.07	3.5	1.60	2.57	2.16	NW: Specific areas for effect; too crowded	
IMAGE 97	Townhomes	2.6	1.69	2.9	1.34	0.43	2.94		
IMAGE 98	Sidewalk in Single-Family Development	3.1	1.74	2.5	1.90	3.52	1.81	NW: Too narrow?; wider; love the lake. <u>CPAC:</u> Frisco Lake?	
IMAGE 99	Multiple-Family	-2.9	2.56	-2.3	2.71	-2.38	3.63	NW: Avoid this; nooool; too boxy; ugly! (2 responses)	
IMAGE 100	Public Space	3.6	1.76	3.3	1.65	3.48	1.99	NW: Need some color; I like sculptures, just not this sculpture!; public art!; nice!; that is not art!; love the sculpture; weird art. CPAC: Love the art	

IMAGE	SUBJECT		ood Workshops NW)		an Advisory (CPAC)		ol Students SS)	0014471470	WAS .
NUMBER	MATTER	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 101	Single-Family	-1.2	2.80	-0.2	2.31	-1.29	2.95	NW: Too close to street; yuckl; feel cramped CPAC: Too dense	
IMAGE 102	Townhomes	-0.1	2.82	1.4	1.68	0.38	3.31	<u>NW:</u> Feel cramped	
IMAGE 103	Retail Pedestrian Gathering Space	2.2	2.06	2.9	1.31	0.24	3.11	NW: Like away from sidewalk	
IMAGE 104	Transit-Small Buses	1.4	2.76	2.5	2.15	-1.29	3.55	<u>NW:</u> Kinda blah; small is great	
IMAGE 105	Open Space in Relation to Development	2.4	2.27	2.6	1.59	1.14	2.43	NW: Not enough; too small	
IMAGE 106	Mixed Use	1.5	2.64	2.5	2.35	3.14	1.88	NW: Downtown; ugly!; poor design. CPAC: Like patio on 2nd story	
IMAGE 107	Public Space	3.4	1.80	4.0	1.35	4.05	1.72	NW: Love to have it here; fountains trees; too much concrete; fun!; fountains; love the palm trees; water is a little much. CPAC: Water fountains; love founains to play	
IMAGE 108	Street Design	2.5	2.14	3.3	1.24	2.57	2.36	NW: Porches; like medianlooks great with nice landscaping. CPAC: Like the median	
IMAGE 109	Single-Family	2.1	2.29	3.0	1.53	1.38	3.51	NW: Cute; walks; diversity is great; size. CPAC: Like front porches	
IMAGE 110	Multiple-Family	1.4	2.57	2.5	2.37	1.48	2.62	<u>NW:</u> Too square; yuck! <u>CPAC:</u> Diverse	

IMAGE NUMBER	SUBJECT MATTER	Neighborhood Workshops (NW)		Comp Plan Advisory Cmte (CPAC)		High School Students (HSS)		COMMENTO	IMAGE
		AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 111	Entryway	2.9	2.22	2.5	1.95	2.81	2.82	NW: Too elaborate; too much; gates bad!; nice. CPAC: Too big/monumental; too big	
IMAGE 112	Mixed Use	0.8	2.69	1.7	1.75	1.86	2.90	NW: Small trees; boxy; uglyl; needs a little more detail; too City-like. CPAC: Stark	
IMAGE 113	Street Design	2.9	2.22	3.5	1.37	3.19	2.42	NW: Bike paths; like it!; Woodlands,TX; like the ped & bike path; great for bikes; like bike trail; bike trail yes; way too cramped. CPAC: Bike lanes; love it!; Like bike trails.	
IMAGE 114	Single-Family	1.4	2.76	3.0	1.80	-0.57	2.91	NW: But too skinny; porches trees; size; appearance. CPAC: Great scale; trees, walkways.	
IMAGE 115	Mixed Use	-0.8	2.90	1.3	2.73	0.33	2.83	NW: Boringl; boxy; yuckl; needs more arch. detail; weird street poles. CPAC: Don't like detail of design.	
IMAGE 116	Single-Family	0.2	3.07	2.5	1.82	-1.76	3.16	NW: If maintained; boring!; too crowded; claustrophobic; more variety; spacing. CPAC: Sameness not interesting; in small doses.	
IMAGE 117	Open Space in Relation to Development	3.2	2.13	3.5	1.19	1.24	2.84	NW: Playgrounds; grasses!; use of space. CPAC: Porches, sidewalks	
IMAGE 118	Transit & Transit- Oriented Development	2.5	2.82	3.9	1.25	4.38	0.92	NW: Great grass!; wow; love the grass on the track!; this is so futuristic!; rails over grass is nice; don't like grass. CPAC: Grass is great.	
IMAGE 119	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	0.4	2.94	3.0	1.27	0.10	3.00	NW: Character!; too crowded; too close CPAC: Good scale.	
IMAGE 120	Public Space	3.4	1.70	3.7	1.25	3.81	1.21	NW: Benches good; meeting outdoors; no color! <u>CPAC</u> : Water features!	

IMAGE NUMBER	SUBJECT MATTER	Neighborhood Workshops (NW)		Comp Plan Advisory Cmte (CPAC)		High School Students (HSS)		00111451150	WA 0.5
		AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IMAGE
IMAGE 121	Street Design	2.7	2.07	3.7	1.32	1.86	3.31	NW: Mature trees wow!; don't like street parking; but no parking; large trees are nice; open a little more. CPAC: Trees, landscaping; mature trees are unfair comparison; like trees; trees.	
IMAGE 122	Single-Family Zero-Lot-Line	-0.1	3.11	1.6	2.77	-0.62	3.34	NW: Not Frisco; see your neighbor; too Northeastern; yuckl; ugly; design+; too cramped. <u>CPAC:</u> Too modern.	
IMAGE 123	Mixed Use	1.0	2.80	1.6	2.34	1.62	2.97	NW: Nothing green; balconies; too Northeastern; too stuffy; I like tall buildings. CPAC: Bad space; like balconies.	
IMAGE 124	Single-Family	1.7	2.18	3.4	1.62	1.33	2.65	<u>NW:</u> Porch; yuk! <u>CPAC:</u> Great scale; diverse.	
IMAGE 125	Public Space	0.1	2.73	0.7	2.97	0.48	2.68	NW: Wide open!; too much concrete; only along Dallas Pkwy; too concrete. CPAC: Ugly, hot; too much concrete	
IMAGE 126	Townhomes	1.9	2.15	3.1	1.31	-0.05	3.14	<u>NW:</u> Nice design	
IMAGE 127	Street Design	-0.6	2.68	-1.0	2.34	-1.10	3.13	NW: Trees make it cozy everywhere; only along 121; needs signals	Park
IMAGE 128	Mixed Use	2.1	2.04	2.9	1.45	2.05	2.52	<u>NW:</u> Has character; lights; too Northeastern; street scape	
IMAGE 129	Public Space	2.1	2.28	2.7	1.38	0.95	2.92	NW: Ugly utility polel; too many duplicates; sculpture; too Northeastern; public artl; too many posts & ugly sculpture; use of landscaping; weird art too small CPAC: Like art; no seating; poles	
IMAGE 130	Multiple-Family	1.0	3.10	2.3	2.80	2.95	1.66	NW: Trees; too crowded in appearance; yuck!; need lots of trees; landscaping. CPAC: Like landscape; neat, variety	

City of Frisco, Texas 2006 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

IMAGE NUMBER	SUBJECT MATTER	Neighborhood Workshops (NW)		Comp Plan Advisory Cmte (CPAC)		High School Students (HSS)		COMMENTS	IMAGE
		AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	AVERAGE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COMMENTS	IIVIAGE
IMAGE 131	Open Space in Relation to Development	2.7	1.85	3.5	1.22	1.14	2.83	NW: Trees. <u>CPAC:</u> Too much landscape.	
IMAGE 132	Transit & Transit- Oriented Development	1.4	2.74	2.9	2.25	0.81	3.40	NW: Too congested in appearance; too dangerous with people walking; Not good design; use of trees. CPAC: Run over people.	
IMAGE 133	Townhomes	0.2	2.68	2.4	1.79	-0.29	2.83	<u>NW:</u> Too City-like	
IMAGE 134	Street Design	3.1	1.91	3.5	1.34	2.95	1.83	NW: Lots of upkeep; love this!; too Northeastern; love flowers; too expensive to upkeep; landscaping; weird median. CPAC: Like flowers.	
IMAGE 135	Public Space	4.1	1.14	3.9	1.31	4.05	1.56	NW: Great!; yes; very, very nice!; love this too!; love fountains; like water parks; fountains; love the fountains; cool. CPAC: Like fountains.	1